

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

seeks a “Default judgment” of \$122 million against all Defendants.

To the extent that ECF 82-85 is a new motion for default, it is denied. *See, e.g., Jiggetts v. United Parcel Service*, No. 14cv8291, 2017 WL 222118 at * 2 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 17, 2017) (finding default was not warranted even where defendants filed a tardy motion to dismiss; noting that defendants “have actively participated in this case for years”). Given the timing of the filing of ECF 82-85, the Court will consider this filing in connection with Defendants’ motion to dismiss. Additionally, Plaintiff has an extended date of **December 19, 2022**, to file any other papers in opposition to Defendants’ motion to dismiss. (ECF 89). Any additional filings addressed to the pending motion to dismiss must state that they are in opposition to the motion and must be filed no later than **December 19, 2022**.

Upon review of the docket, the Court notes that many of Plaintiff’s filings contain ad hominem attacks on Defendants and Court staff. Plaintiff is reminded to treat opposing litigants, counsel, and Court staff, with civility and professionalism.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to close ECF 82 and 83, and to mail a copy of this Order to *pro se* Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2022
New York, New York

s/ Ona T. Wang

Ona T. Wang
United States Magistrate Judge